



UNITED STEED DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNE	Y DOCKET NO.
08/825,585	04/01/97	YOSHIDA		Т	35.C1	0516-C0
005514 LM12/0812			. 7	EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK	CELLA HARP			ENG,G		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA				ART UNI	T P	APER NUMBER
NEW YORK NY	10112			2743		25
		<i>:</i>		DATE MAILE	D: 08/12	2/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Advisory Action

Application No. 08/825,585

Applicant(s)

George Eng

Yoshida

Examiner

Group Art Unit 2743



	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]				
i	a) 💢 expires6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.				
(Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.				
X	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on				
App but	olicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Jun 25, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:				
X	The proposed amendment(s):				
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.				
	🗴 will not be entered because:				
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).				
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).				
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.				
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.				
	NOTE: the newly amended claims 11 and 18 change the scope by specifying receiving means for receiving ID information before a start of communication of a protocol signal relating to image communication and raise the new issue that would require further search and/or consideration				
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):				
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.				
	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in o				
	for allowance because:				
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by				
	the Examiner in the final rejection.				
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):				
	Claims allowed:				
	Claims objected to:				
	Claims rejected: 1-24				
	The proposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved by the Examiner.				
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).				
	Other Difference of the Control of t				
	CURTIS A. KONTZ				
	SUPERVISORY PATER SYMMINER SROUP 1770				

25